

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VAH PSH W/UP PSH I Chronic
 Organization Name: Assoc. Cath. Char./Upper Bay
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 33

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Net all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness		
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers		
Non-employment income for project stayers		
Earned income for project leavers		
Non-employment income for project leavers		
Performance Measures Subtotal		
	45	50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		
	20	20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation		
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation		
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		
	20	20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative		
Data Quality Timeliness		
Timely Expenditure Reporting		
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups		
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely		
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		
All funds were spent		
Bed Utilization at or >95%		
Project is cost effective		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		
	81	105
TOTAL SCORE		
	166	195
Weighted Rating Score		
	85	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ 123,074

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VAH PSH IV/UP PSH I Chronic

Organization Name: Assoc. Cath. Char./Upper Bay

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 33

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 123,074

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year \$ 123,074

CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year \$ 100,000

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year 81%

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Vanana's House PSH I
 Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 8

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete
 100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Returns to Homelessness		
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		47.5 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	8 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	0 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		8 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	0 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		105 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		195 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		87 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested \$ 58,753

NOTE: Enter on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Vanna's House PSH

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 8

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	MAX POINT VALUE
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$ 20,147
Amount of private funding	
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 78,880
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 58,733
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 58,733
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	100%

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Vanna's House PSH II
 Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 9

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

INSTRUCTIONS ON AWARDED POINTS

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned Income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Earned Income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		45 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	5 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	0 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		5 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	0 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely		10 out of 10
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		78 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		148 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		76 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ **87,371**

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Vanna's House PSH II

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 9

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	MAX POINT VALUE
RATING FACTOR	
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$ 13,089
Amount of private funding	
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 100,460
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 87,371
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 87,371
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	100%

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Yanna's House Transitional Housing

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: TH

Project Identifier: 13

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to TH	7 out of 20
Transitional housing		
Exits to Permanent Housing	≥ 75% move to PH	15 out of 25
Transitional housing		
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		42 out of 70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Transitional Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	2 out of 10
Transitional Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	0 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		2 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	5 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		96 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		160 out of 215
Weighted Rating Score		74 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Yanna's House Transitional Housing

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: TH

Project Identifier: 13

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 23,825
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		\$ 190,145
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 213,970
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 23,825
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 23,825
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: vCoC (Shelter Plus Care)

Organization Name: Upper Bay Counseling and Support Services, Inc.

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 27

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Rating Complete

100%
Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		
		47.5 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	10 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	5 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		
		15 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		
		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	0 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	0 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		0 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		10 out of 20
Project is cost effective		20 out of 20
		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		
		62 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		
		144.5 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		
		74 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

ROFF: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ 276,340

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: CoC (Shelter Plus Care)

Organization Name: Upper Bay Counseling and Support Services, Inc.

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 27

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		\$ 12,435
Amount of private funding		\$ 289,775
TOTAL PROJECT COST		
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 276,340
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 276,340
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: WHF PSH I
 Organization Name: Harford Family House, Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 22

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

100%
 Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Returns to Homelessness		
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 70% of participants return to homelessness	0 out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		
		30 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	0 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		
		10 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		
		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	5 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		
		97 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		
		157 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		
		83 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: List on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ 87,296

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: WHFH PSH I

Organization Name: Harford Family House, Inc.

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 22

Renewal/Expansion Projects Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
RATING FACTOR		
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		\$ 59,779
Amount of private funding		\$ 147,075
TOTAL PROJECT COST		
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 87,296
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 87,296
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VALL PSH I

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 36

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	20 out of 25
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		
		45 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	7 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	5 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		
		12 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		
		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	4 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		9 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		15 out of 20
Project is cost effective		7 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		
		90 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		
		167 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		
		86 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on this LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tcsb

\$ 76,766

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VALL PSH I

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 36

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
RATING FACTOR		
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 76,766
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year		\$ 76,766
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		\$ 69,179
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		90%

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VPSH II

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 2

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

INSTRUCTIONS ON AWARDING POINTS

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		47.5 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	10 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	5 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		15 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	5 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	15 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		5 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		87 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		169.5 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		87 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE RECEIVED tab

\$ 52,502

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: vPSH II

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 2

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	MAX POINT VALUE
RATING FACTOR	
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$ 12,435
Amount of private funding	\$ 64,937
TOTAL PROJECT COST	
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 52,502
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 52,502
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	100%

NOTE Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE RENEWED tab

NOTE Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: YPSH III

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 3

Renewal/Expansion Projects Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		45 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	10 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		20 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	10 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		20 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		105 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		144 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		74 out of 300

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: List on this LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE RECEIVED Job

\$ 10,079

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: YPSH III

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 3

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	MAX POINT	VALUE
RATING FACTOR		
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$	190,145
Amount of private funding	\$	200,228
TOTAL PROJECT COST		
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$	10,079
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$	7,744
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		77%

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VPSH IV

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 4

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		47.5 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	10 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		20 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	0 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		15 out of 20
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		105 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		195 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		86 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.tab

\$ **11,489**

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: YPSH IV

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 4

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
RATING FACTOR		
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 11,489
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 11,489
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 11,489
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: VPSH V

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 5

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Length of Stay		
Exits to Permanent Housing		
Permanent Supportive Housing		
Returns to Homelessness		
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≥ 85% remain in or move to PH	25 out of 25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Earned income for project stayers	≥ 20% of participants return to homelessness	15 out of 15
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	25%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ increase	2.5 out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal		47.5 out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	10 out of 10
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		20 out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	10 out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	10 out of 10
Data Quality Timeliness	5 days or less from entry/exit	10 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting	At least Quarterly	5 out of 5
Active Participation in CoC Meetings and Workgroups	3 of 4 quarterly meetings & on a sub-committee	10 out of 10
HMIS Interim Annual Assessments Completed timely	within 30 prior to or after entry date annually	20 out of 20
>60% increased or maintained mainstream benefits (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		10 out of 10
All funds were spent		10 out of 10
Bed Utilization at or >95%		10 out of 10
Project is cost effective		10 out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		105 out of 105
TOTAL SCORE		195 out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		100 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ 11,057

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: vPSH V

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 5

Renewal/Expansion Projects

Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR

Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)

Amount of private funding

TOTAL PROJECT COST

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year

CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year

PERFORMANCE GOAL

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

	MAX POINT VALUE
\$	11,057
\$	11,057
\$	11,057
	100%

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: vUnited Way PSH II Chronic Expansion
 Organization Name: United Way of Central MD
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 35

New Projects Rating Complete
 100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE		
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	15 out of
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	10 out of
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5	5 out of
Experience Subtotal	30	30 out of

DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

A. Extent to which the applicant		
1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.	15	15 out of
2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served		
3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served.		
4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits		
5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.		
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5	5 out of
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5	5 out of
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	25	25 out of

TIMELINESS

A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	10 out of
Timeliness Subtotal	10	10 out of

FINANCIAL

A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	4	5 out of
B. Audit		
1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	3	3 out of
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	3	3 out of
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	4	4 out of

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: vUnited Way PSH II Chronic Expansion

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 35

New Projects
Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
C. Documented match amount.	5	5 out of 5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	20 out of 20
Financial Subtotal	39	40 out of 40
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	5	5 out of 5
Section V Subtotal	5	5 out of 5
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Section VI Subtotal	0	0 out of 0
TOTAL SCORE	109	110 out of 110
Weighted Rating Score	99	100 out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$	32,625
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$	32,625

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: vUW PSH | Chronic Expansion
 Organization Name: United Way of Central MD
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 31

New Projects
 Rating Complete
100%

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

EXPERIENCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	15 out of
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	10 out of
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5	5 out of
Experience Subtotal	30	30 out of

DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
A. Extent to which the applicant		
1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.		
2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.	13	15 out of
3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served.		
4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits		
5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.		
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5	5 out of
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5	5 out of
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	23	25 out of

TIMELINESS	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	10 out of
Timeliness Subtotal	10	10 out of

FINANCIAL	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	3	5 out of
B. Audit		
1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	3	3 out of
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	3	3 out of
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	4	4 out of

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: vUW PSH I Chronic Expansion
 Organization Name: United Way of Central MD
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 31

New Projects
 Rating Complete

100%

Met all threshold requirements

Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR	POINTS		MAX POINT VALUE
	AWARDED	out of	
C. Documented match amount.	5	5	5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	20	20
Financial Subtotal	38	40	40

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals

	5	5	5
Section V Subtotal	5	5	5

OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA

Section VI Subtotal	0	0	0
----------------------------	----------	----------	----------

TOTAL SCORE

	106	110	110
--	------------	------------	------------

Weighted Rating Score

	96	100	100
--	-----------	------------	------------

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$	59,621
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$	25,000
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$	78,621

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab